IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 3035 of 1996

Between:

1 Harijana Narasimhulu, S/o. Yetti Narasappa,
R/o. Gotuluru, Dharmavaram Mandalam,
Anantapur District.
2 Kondavaripalli Narayanaswamy, S/o. Pakirappa,
R/o. Gotuluru, Dharmavaram Mandalam,
Anantapur District.
3 Girika Ramanjaneyulu, S/o. Narayanappa,
R/o. Gotuluru, Dharmavaram Mandalam,
Anantapur District.
4 Chalasani Sreeramulu, S/o. Venkataswamy,
R/o. Gotuluru, Dharmavaram Mandalam,
Anantapur District.
5 Basireddigari Peddi Reddy, S/o. Chinnappareddy,
R/o. Gotuluru, Dharmavaram Mandalam,
Anantapur District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The District Collector, Anantapur.
2 The Mandal Revenue Oficer, Dharmavaram,
Anantapur District.
3 The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments,
Anantapur.
4 The Manager Trusty of Sri Anjaneya Temple of Gotlur.,
Dharmavaram Mandal. Anantapur.
(Petition against Respondents 1 and 4 was dismissed

for default as per Court order dated 06-04-2000).

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to

issue a Writ or order or direction, particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in seeking to evict the petitioners from their land situated in Survey No.63 of Dharmavaram Village, Anantapur District, of an extent of Acres 9-45 cents, in pursuance of G.O.Ms. No.456 dated 29-09-1995 without issuing any notice and without following the procedure laid down in the concerned Act, as illegal, arbitrary and unwarranted and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for Petitioners: MR. B.LINGAIAH CHOUDARY (Not present)

Counsel for Respondents: GOVERNMENT PLEADER FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This matter was listed for hearing on 27-01-2005. As there was no representation on behalf of petitioners, it is listed today "for dismissal." Even today, when the matter is called, there is no representation on behalf of petitioners. Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

_____

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J

31st January, 2005.

Ak

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The District Collector, Anantapur.

2. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Dharmavaram Mandal,

Anantapur District.

3. The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments, Anantapur.

4. The Manager Trusty of Sri Anjaneya Temple of Gotlur,

Dharmavaram Mandal, Anantapur District.

5. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T).

6. Two CD copies.